against Defendants, and each of them, for failing to appear or otherwise respond to the Complaint despite proper service of same and the summons, and Plaintiff's Application for Entry of Default Judgment against Defendants and Plaintiff's Supplement thereto, including the accompanying Affidavit and Declaration of Michelle Smith Cotto, Esq. (collectively, "Smith Cotto Affidavit") and all exhibits thereto, the supporting Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the argument and facts presented at the hearing held on Plaintiff's Application for Default Judgment before this Court on September 4, 2013, and the Court being fully advised of the premises, finds as follows:

- 1. Defendants, and each of them, executed and agreed to be bound by the terms of the Indemnity Agreement attached to the Smith Cotto Affidavit as Exhibit 1 ("Indemnity Agreement").
- 2. In partial reliance upon the Defendants' execution of the Indemnity Agreement, Plaintiff issued the bonds identified in Exhibits 2 and 3 (the "Bonds") to the Smith Cotto Affidavit on behalf of Mechanical Insulation Specialists in the collective amount of \$4,697,049.12 (performance bonds + payment bonds) in connection with which Plaintiff has received and continues to receive claims.
- 3. Pursuant to the terms of the Indemnity Agreement, Defendants are jointly and severally liable to indemnify and hold harmless Plaintiff for losses it incurs for claims on the Bonds.
- 4. Further, pursuant to the Indemnity Agreement, Defendants are jointly and severally liable to post collateral in the type and amount demanded by Plaintiff that Plaintiff, in its sole discretion, deems adequate to protect Plaintiff against anticipated future losses for Bond claims.
- 5. Mechanical Insulation Specialists declaration of bankruptcy and abandonment of its projects has required Plaintiff to pay a substantial number of claims. In connection with these

claims, Plaintiff has been and will continue to be sued by Mechanical Insulation Specialists' subcontractors and suppliers seeking payment.

- 6. Pursuant to the terms of the Indemnity Agreement, Defendants expressly agreed that Plaintiff's proof of losses related to Bond claims, such as the proof of payments attached to the Smith Cotto Affidavit, would be conclusive evidence of the fact and amount of Defendants' liability for those payments.
- 7. Plaintiff has proven to this Court's satisfaction that as of June 20, 2013 it has incurred the total amount of \$924,574.11 to investigate and resolve claims on the Bonds, which includes consultant and legal expenses for investigating the status of the bonded projects and investigating and resolving the claims and related litigation.
- 8. Plaintiff has proven to this Court's satisfaction that it faces continued exposure for losses on the Bonds, including claims, costs, expenses and attorneys' fees, in the amount of \$2,000,000.00 for payments to satisfy bonded obligations for which the Defendants are obligated to indemnify Plaintiff and post collateral pursuant to the Indemnity Agreement they signed. Defendants are expressly, and presently, liable to Plaintiff to post \$2,000,000.00 of cash collateral with Plaintiff based upon Defendants' obligation to post collateral with Plaintiff contained in the Indemnity Agreement.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- 1. Plaintiff is awarded the following damages against Defendants, jointly and severally:
 - a. \$924,574.11 for the amount Plaintiff has already incurred to resolve bonded obligations as of June 20, 2013; and

	Case 2:12-cv-00724-APG-N	JJK Document 38 Filed 09/20/13 Page 4 of 5
1		
1	b. \$	62,000,000.00 cash collateral to be posted by Defendants with
2	I	Plaintiff as security for Plaintiff's exposure for anticipated future
3	I	Bond losses;
4	c. A	Accordingly, Plaintiff is awarded the Judgment against Defendants,
5	j	ointly and severally, in the total amount of \$2,924,574.11
6 7		\$924,574.11 [payments made and already incurred by Plaintiff] +
8		52,000,000 [anticipated future payments by Plaintiff for Bond
9		
10		claims]).
11		
12	///	
13		
14		
15	///	
16		
17		
18	///	
19		
20		
21		
22	///	
23		
24		
25	///	
26		
27		
28		
		- 4 -

1 2. The total Judgment of \$2,924,574.11 against Defendants jointly and 2 severally and in favor of Plaintiff shall accrue post-judgment interest pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 3 1961. 4 5 DATED: September 20, 2013 at 3:12 p.m. 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 9 Judgment Prepared By: 10 WATT, TIEDER, HOFFAR 11 & FITZGERALD, L.L.P. 12 13 By DAVID R. JOHNSON 14 djohnson@wthf.com Nevada Bar No. 6696 15 JARED M. SECHRIST 16 jsechris@wthf.com Nevada Bar No. 10439 17 6325 S. Rainbow Boulevard Suite 110 18 Las Vegas, NV 89118 Attorneys for Plaintiff 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 - 5 -

Case 2:12-cv-00724-APG-NJK Document 38 Filed 09/20/13 Page 5 of 5